DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 266 685 FL 015 541

AUTHOR Evensen, Lars Sigfried

TITLE Testing Language Skills in the Norwegian

Comprehensive School.

PUB DATE 85

NOTE 17p.; In: Language Testing in School. AFinLA Yearbook

1985. No. 41 (see FL 015 537). Document is in small

print.

PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Ability; Communicative Competence

(Languages); Curriculum Desici; Data Interpretation; *Educational Change; Elementary Secondary Education;

*English (Second Language); Foreign Countries;

*Heterogeneous Grouping; Language Skills; *Language Tests; Public Schools; Second Language Instruction;

Student Attitudes; Surveys; Teacher Attitudes;

Testing; *Test Results

IDENTIFIERS Comprehensive Examinations; *Norway

ABSTRACT

Data from a national survey of students and teachers working within Norway's system of mixed-ability instruction in the elementary and early secondary schools suggest that, after ten years of implementation, the teaching and testing system is workable and that some aspects work relatively well. Instruction in English as a second language in a mixed-ability system has some problems that will be a continuing challenge for researchers and teacher trainers. When the curriculum was designed, the communicative aspect had not been worked out well, but it is anticipated that the revisions in the 1985 teaching guide will after the previous non-communicative skills orientation to a more communicative one, especially in the direction of oral skills and cultural education. These changes are expected to lead to changes in testing. Some data interpretation and tables are included from both teacher and student responses and a national evaluation system. (MSE)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.



KATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

★ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE "PERMISSION TO HEPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J. Tommola

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "

TENTING LANGUAGE SKILLS IN THE HOMESDIAN CONTRACTOR

Lars Sigfred Evensen (University of Trontheim)

1 Introduction

ED26668

Finland is at a stage where streaming in Fig is on its way out of the system and mixed-ability teaching and testing on its way in. Such a decision is going to have far-reaching effects on both teaching and testing. It is against this background that I would like to present selected data from the Horweyian system.

In Horway a curriculum based on the senceyt of mixed-ability teaching has been in effect since 1974. It grew out of a long period of pedagogical and even political struggle. In fact, the more fundamental questions behind the mixed-ability concept tog, should up have one school for all?) have been central in Horwagian debate for more than one hundred years (Telhaug 1970:10). The reason for this is that one's choices on such issues will have effects that are not only linguistic and pedagogical, but also social. Education gives access to so many other things in society.

In the context of mixed-ability teaching testing is but one aspect. However, me or pedagogical questions like individualisation have already been topics for seninar teorites and seminars in Finland (cf. eg. seninar reports No.3 and No.11 from Nordisha sprak- och informationscentret, Helsinki). Norvegian points of view informationscentret by Frydenberg 1981a, 1981b and 1981c, by Stelen 1984a, 1984b and by Tangen 1984. Thus in my present talk it is natural to concentrate on questions of evaluation and assessment in English as a foreign language (ETL) in general and the exam system in particular.

As an applied linguist I feel that it is very important to supplement information about a system with information the people who are actually working within the system. It is, after all, the wearer of the school, so to speak, who knows where it hurts most. For this reason I shall present selected results from a national survey carried out in 1981. The aim of this survey was to find out what a statistically representative national sample of students and teachers in grades 8-11 (student ages appr. 15-18) of Horwegian lower and upper secondary school purceive to be their problems in LL/LT in Horwegian as a first language and EFL (Evensen 1983).

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

the eurvey evaluation stands as one out of a coneiders le number of problem areas. In the context of this conference I feel that it may be just as relevant to hear about such problems as to hear about any solutions that we might have to offer.

2 The Holwegian EFL curriculum and a ' 'on system

Teaching in Mortegian comprehensive states on the "Memsterplan for cunnekolen" (Curriculum for primary and lower secondary culcution). The main educational innovation introduced by the plan was the step away from a fixed curriculum for all to a flexible teaching guide, where individual schools and teachers (at least in principle) are given considerable freedom to choose for themselves both what to teach and how to teach it. The testing system, however, is still largely centralized.

In the EFL teaching guide a major change coneisted of moving away from explicit innowledge of language structure and metalanguage to an explicit suphasis on skills and a less explicit emphasis on language as a means of communication. The goal of EFL is stated in the following three parts (my translation):

"The teaching of English is to aim at -giving the etudents practical language skill which may give them increased ability to establish contact, orally and in writing -forming as firm a basis as possible for continued learning of the subject -establishing such attitudes toward the language that the students develop an interest in increasing their skills and get an impulse to use the language."

Based on considerations of communicative needs, oral skills are given more amphasis than written skills. Furthermore, of the two written skills reading seems to be given priority before writing, which is partly given a secondary role (Simensen 1983):

"The skill of written expression is also to be practiced, both as a support of training of the oral skills and as a means of expression in itself".

Given the fact that the teaching guide is based on general goals rather than specific objectives, with considerable local freedom within the system, one may suspect that teachers have problems in knowing what to evaluate, which criteria to use. In the national survey referred to, the teacher questionnaires contained the following question:



Do you think it is easy or difficult to know what to correct in this grade? (Put a ring around the figure below the appropriate response alternative).

very diffic.	quite diffic.	medium	quite eas:	4417	don't know
5	4	3	2	1	•

Figure 1. Translated item from EFL teacher's question-nairo.

The answers of 191 EFL teachers in grade 9 are displayed in Table 1 below. In the table answers are given as percentages for each response alternative. The frequencies are weighted to counterbalance biases introduced by disproportionate sampling design (cf. Evensen 1982a). Furthermore the frequencies are sigusted so that those repondents who answered 'don't know' (1.0 per cent of the total) or left the item unanswered (3.1 per cent of the total) are kept out of the computations.

Table 1. Selecting criteria for correcting student performace. EFL teachers' perceptions of difficulty in grade 9. Heights@ and adjusted frequencies (n=191)......

	respon		tendency spersion			
very diffi	.	***		very		-
5	4	3	2	1	Md	s (1)
02.9	13.5	49.5	27.3	<u>06.8</u>	2,821	665

15.4 per cent, or about a sixth, of the teachers feel that knowing what to correct is very difficult or quite difficult. For these teachers the choice of evaluation criteria may be said to constitute a Problem. For the great majority, however, the system saces to work quite well. If we use the response scale from five to one to compute an average (median) out of the answers given, the result is 2.821. This means that an "average answer" is a little toward the 'easy' end of the scals. The standard deviation adds the further information that there is some disagreement among teachers on the question; there is some degree of dispersion in the answers given.

The most reasonable conclusion one can draw from the data seems to be that, after seven years of experience with mixed-ability teaching, most teachers are in fact quite satisfied with the freedom (or burden) of choice built into the system. The table, in other words, seems



to show that local freedom is not as big a problem as one might have expected it to be for teachers with respect to knowing what to correct.

We may go on to ask what the students think of the teachers' evaluation. The student questionnaires contained an item of the type presented above where the students were asked to evaluate teacher demands, as demonstrated in teacher marking, on an ordinal scale going from 'much too high' to 'much too low'. The answers are

Table 2. Marking. EFL studencs perceptions of teacher demands in grade 9. Weighted and adjusted frequencies (n=410).

	esponse	central tendency				
	demar	and dispersion				
wuch too great			· • • • • • • •	much too - small	Md	8
5	4	3 %	2	1		
12,7	30.9	49.7	06.8	00.0	3.371	,801

It seems that the students are not totally satisfied with the state of affairs. 43.6 per cent feel that teacher demands are 'much too great' or 'a little too great'. On the other hand nobody seems to feel that the teachers are much too lenient. 6.8 per cent think that the teachers are a little too lenient. The median reflects this distribution. A result of 3.371 is toward the 'too great' part of the scale. Still, the median is so close to the neutral mid category that this problem may not in any sense be said to be an important one.

3 The Norwegian EFL exam

In this section I shall present some information about the Norwegian EFL exam at the end of comprehensive school. In the presentation I shall focus on the correspondence between the goals and the exam system. I shall also consider potential backwash effects on everyday teaching. It is a well-known fact that the exam system influences practical teaching. Simensen (1983:217, has formulated this principle very strongly; if there is a discrepancy between 202.5 and evaluation evaluation



I have chosen to use teacher perceptions of Borrespondence as my starting point. In the questionnaire teachers were asked to give their views as to the degree of correspondence between the goals and the ETL exam. I find the results very interesting.

Table 3. Teacher perceptions of the correspondence between the official goals and the EFL exam at the end of comprehensive school. Neighted and adjusted frequencies (n=191).

1	respons	alter	central t			
very good corr.				very bad corr.	24	
5	4	3	2	1		
00.0	03.6	49.8	36,8	09.9	2.568	.722

It seems clear that we deal here with a real problem of some intensity. Home of the teachers think that the correspondence is 'very good', and only 3.6 per cent feel that it is 'quite good'. On the other hand %lmcst ten per cent think that the correspondence is 'very bad'. The median is as low as 2.568 and the low standard deviation (.722) indicates a higher degree of concensus among the teachers on this question than on previous ones. In an effort to understand this problem I shall first present some background information about the exam system and then supplement this material with more detailed survey data.

English is the only foreign language with a final written exam in Horsey. The test takes place at the end of ninth grade and is allotted four hours /+ fifteen minutes for practicalities. I: officially consists of at least four out of a list of eight subtests presented in the Handbook for the school, part III (Handbok skolen, drl III):

- -comprehension questions on a given text
- -fill-in-the-blank (including close)
- -completion of a text where the beginning or and las been removed
- -free comments on a text
- ~essay based on key words
- -picture-based essay
- -essay on a given topic

According to the regulations each student sits for at least one of the following subjects-



-Morwegian as'a first language

-Mathematics

-EFT.

In practice each student sits for only these, which means that all students in approximately one third of the country's nineteen counties sit for the EFL exam every year (cf. Table 5 below). The particular counties are selected anew each year according to a con-

Each student's performance is evaluated by a team of two external examiners each evaluating about 150 student performances. Examiners work independently at tirst, then change student answers and at the end discuss

Since 1982 the guiding principle behind criteria and marking has been one called "adapted goal-referenced testing" (cf. Mc.sk Skole Nos. 10/11 and evaluation 12/13 1982 and 4 1984). This principle may be seen referenced and norm-referenced testing. goal-referenced, 4.5 criterion~

The main basis for marking is the examiners' overall impression of each student's performance. In doing this the examiners are told to relate their judgement not just to linguistic aspects, but also to the overall goals of the school system, as these are stated in the teaching guide. Apart from a general description of intentions the examiners are not given many details as to how to go about implementing the principle in

More detailed evaluation criteria are distributed every year to the examiners and later published in the series Evaluaring i grunnskolen (Evaluation in the prehensive school) issued by T'r National Council for Primary and Lower-secondary Education.

For the more closed subtests in the written exam, some of which are discrete-point, a number of points are given for each subtest (implying a relative ranking of them as to importance) and a total sum for all subtests is suggested for each mark. In certain cases, a graded system is used for the allotment of points to each vidual answer, where the top score is reserved for those answers that are both formally and functionally correct (eg. a question with do-periphras). A medium score is given for understandable but formally incorrect answers (eg. 'How long do the journey takes?"), and no points are given for answers that are both formally and functionally incorrect. Thus communicative principles have extent entered into the criterior-referenced part of the marking



For the essays several broad crittria are suggisted. The essays in the upper two bands of the five-point marking scale (see below) should be "good" as to coherence (2), diction and vocabulary, having few errors of orthography and verb inflection. Furthermore the essays have to be in accordance with the wording of the task given.

The essays in the mid group are accepted with semewhat lower standard as to coherence, diction, vocabulary, errors of orthography and verb inflection; some naive formulations and a relatively short answer. The criteria for the low group are more indirect; a very short essay will not receive good marks and an otherwise medium essay should not be lowered more than one step in the marking if the student has not written the essay part of the exam. For the lowest mark the criterion is communicative; the essay would not be understood if performed orally.

By way of contrast, the oral exam consists of a combination taken out of a list of four subtests (HAndbok for skolen, del III):

-reading of a passage from a known 'ext followed by conversation about the text from which the passage is taken

-free conversation about everyday topics

-reading of a short, unknown text followed by comprehension questions

-conversation about an unknown text read aloud by the teacher (the internal examiner)

Certain students from two counties are selected to sit for the oral exam in English every year. This means that the proportion of students sitting for the oral is such lower than the proportion sitting for the written exam (cf. Table 5 below).

There is one external examiner for each student, and each team of examiners evaluates the performance of some 20 students. In the marking regulations the emphasis is explicitly on the students' ability to carry on a conversation, which is more important than her/his pronunciation and intonation. The regulations do, here as well, have a communicative element.

Statistics as to the distribution of subtests are only available for written exams. In the following section I shall concentrate on the written exam, supplementing some statistics and survey data that are relevant also for the oral.

The norm-referenced part of the evaluation criteria is quite indirect. National standardized tests in the structuralist-psychometric tradition (Spolsky) have since 1962-63 been offered on an optional basis as one



element guiding the teachers' achievement marking. This system is used by 80-90 per cent of the schools (OMI report No. 20, p. 18). Although no norm is given for the national exam, it may be assumed that these tests have long-range effects on individual teacher marking both because of their long tradition and because of their widespread use (for further evidence, see Table 5 below). The weight of the test is said to equal one double-lesson school test. The marks are to be normally distributed (S is the top mark - "particularly good" and Lg is the hottom mark - "little of positive value");

S ++	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Ng -	Lg
4%	24%	48%	24%	43

Let us look at the characteristics of the written EFL exam during the last five years. The distribution of subtests is presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Distribution of subtests in recent EFL written

	1980	181	182	183	184
-open-ended comprehension					
questions -	X	x			
-true/false items	X	Λ	X	Х	-
-text-based questions/	^	-	-	-	Х
answers					
	Х	ኢ	X	Х	XX
-story/dialogue completions	_	-	-	~	×
-vocabulary test	X	-	Х	ХX	x
-cloze/ fill-in-the-blank	х		x	X	
-transformations and			Α.	Λ.	ХX
paraphrases	x	ХX	_		
-sentence completions		~~		^	-
-text-based free comments	_	•	X	-	-
-expression of selected	-	-	-	-	-
language functions					
-letters/	-	X	-	-	_
-letters/ postcards	X	-	X	х	-
-mssay (1 out of 4-6 tasks)	Δ	_X	_ X	x	x

The distribution of subtests clearly indicates that the average number of subtests is larger than four. Furthermore, several subtests that are not included in the official list appear in the actual exams (eg. transformations and sentence completion) whereas one subtest is not used at all in the period studied (free comments on a text). Two test types have dominated the exams in the period in the sense that they have been used every year a making questions or answers to a text and essay writing.



The frequency of the former subtost talls for a comment. The most likely explanation for this perhaps unexpected pattern is suggested in a critical study by Simensen (1979). On the basis of a lengitudinal study of EFL curriculum development and exame she concluded that several aspects of the exam tradition might be questioned when related to the official curricula. such aspect is the preponderance of items where the students are only trained to answer given questions which in real-life communication they also med to be able to Ask questions. An inspection of the exam tasks in the period under study shows that it is in fact this aspect that cases following all emphasized; this subtest 1n Simensen's report asks the student to make 'questions that are relevant to a given text or situation.

In Simensen's (1979) study the suspicion that some subtests may not discriminate well was also expressed. She furthermore suggested that the use of meta-language and discrete-point tests of grammar should be abolished. In the period under study it appears that there has been an increase in the number of subtests where two versions differing in difficulty (eg. in that one subtest is guided where a similar subtest is not or that the marking of one subtest takes accuracy into account where the other does not) are used.

In the period after Simensen's Study No subtests have relied on metalinquistic knowledge. However to some extent discrete-point tests of grammar have still been used, but not since 1982. It may be justified to conclude that one positive aspect of the Horwegian system is its ability to take outside criticism into consideration.

One point that is not taken into consideration, however, is Simensen's criticist of the written language bias in the system. This bias demonstrates itself in the distribution of written and oral exams in the period under study. Table 5 presents both this distribution and the distribution of marks in the four-year period between 1980 and 1983 (the data from 1984 are not yet available).

Table 5. Marks, norms and gram results in ET. 1980-81.

Harks	9 ++	K	G /	Ng	Ly
Toras		743	483	24%	
Achievement marks 1980 (n=62.648) 1981 (n=64.329) 1982 (n=65.895) 1983 (n=65.219)	2.91 3.26 3.24 3.18	31.11 30.87 31.32 31.72	40.46 40.72 40.51 40.70	23.40 23.02 22.75 22.35	2.12 2.12 2.18 2.05



Mritten exams: (all students in <u>mayer counties)</u>					
1980 (n=25.011 =38.2%) 1981 (n=26.975	3.40	22.73	43.51	25.75	4.61
1982 (n=22.331	3.99	42.73	44.18	23.80	4.30
=35.64) 1983 (n=21.657 =31.9%)	2.95	41.37	44.67	25.70	5.10
Oral exams: (80% students in two counties)	2.96	23.20	44.96	24.76	4.11
1980 (n=1.263 =1.9%) 1981 (n=2.043	8.95	41.41	34.60	14.33	0.71
=3.0%) 1982 (n=1.330	6.22	48.44	33.72	11.50	0.34
*1.9%) 1983 (n=1.264	6.77	40.98	36.99	14.96	0.30
=1.94	6.65	40.03	40.11	12.82	0.40

The table makes it abundantly clear that the written exam dominates when seen in relation to the oral exam. Whereas between 30 and 40 per cent of the students sit for the written exam every year, only two to three per cent of the students sit for the oral. This individual student may thus for all practical purposes count on not having to sit for the oral. This backsies count is serious when related to the official curticulum's emphasis on oral communication.

distribution of and written exam is surprisingly close to achievement distribution suggested in the regulations, the so for the written exam. In the achievement particularly is a weak tendency to avoid using the extreme marks There is also a weak tendency to skew the marks the positive end of the scale, and the curve is generally somewhat flatter than officially suggested. there seems to be good reason to assume a relatively strong indirect effect of the standardized tests even if a normal distribution is no longer presupposed adapted goal-referenced evaluation. For the oral exam. however, the effect is not strong. Here about half of the students are found in the upper two bands.

On the basis of this material I would like to return to the question of teacher discintent with the goals exam correspondence. Additional data are presented in Table 1. Here the teachers were asked if they think



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

that each of a number of areas is given the right "suphasis in the present exam system.

Table 6. Relative emphasis on skills and knowledge in the present sxar system. ETL teachers' views. Heighted and adjusted frequencies (n=191).

		alter	rative	•	central tendency				
	too wuch	10		tou little emphasis					
•	smphas: 5 %	4	3	2	1	PM 1	•		
-written skills	19.6	34.4	34.2	11.2	00.6	3.617	.945		
-oral skills -cultural	00.0	C1.0	31.9	30.9	36.3	1.944	. 850		
-cultural knowledge -linguistic		05.5	39.4	43.2	11.0	2.382	.767		
knowlet de	90.7	04.2	70.4	20.6	04.1	2.86B	.624		

There is a major discrepancy between the evaluation of written and oral skills in the teacher material. Mritten skills are generally seen as having too such emphasis in the EFL exam, whereas oral skills are seen as having too little emphasis. In fact more than a third of the trachers feel that oral skills are given far too little emphasis. The median is as low as 1.994, indicating that this problem has considerable intensity (3). At this point it seems reasonable to suspect that at least one major cause of teacher discontent has been located.

The table also indicates that the cultural aspects of EFL are given too little weight. As to linguistic knowledges the neutral mid category is chosen by as many as 70.4 per cent of the teachers. In the Borwegian curriculum linguistic knowledge is dsemphasized, and in recent sxams it has not been tasted in an explicit manner. It is interesting to note that so many teachers are satisfied with the exam in this respect. The standard deviation for this variable is lower than for any other variables we have analyzed so far. In other words there is both considerable satisfaction and agreement among the teachers on this question.

We have already to some extent considered the backwash effect of the sxam. In a broader perspective different subtests may be evaluated as to their motivational effect on students. In the national survey the student respondents were asked about likes and dislikes as to selected test types.



Table 7. Mritten EFL test forms ranked according to grade 9 students' preferences. Heighted and adjusted Erequences (n=410).

	like		rnati			central	tendency spersion
	5				uislike		-P-12:On
	•	₽.	3	2	1	Md	8
otore: ∠na							
juestions fill-in-th blank	•-				03.2	3.590	. 971
grammar questions					01.6	3.477	. ^06
quescions.	08.9	12.1	32.4	31.5	15.1	2.604	1.139
free essay picure-base essay						3.407	1.312
narrative #ssay	08.9 2					3.025	1.126
**Pository	09.3 2					2.756	1.231
essay descriptive	04.0 1					2.380	1.070
SHAY	94.4 1	7.9	14.7.3	5,6	20.4	2,331	1.333

From the students' point of view the essay part is the least popular. Discrete-point grammar questions are the only exception to this pattern. Both comprehension questions to a given text and subtests of the fill-thought of.

Essays are not popular. However, the large dispersion both between and within essay types indicate considerable disagreement among the students. Free essays (where the individual student chooses her own topic) are generally well thought of. There are also groups of students who like other essay types.

In order to conclude the data section I would like to put the question of mixed-ability testing within the larger context of mixed-ability teaching. In a conference of testing we might easily forget the there are other and perhaps even more problematic areas to contained. The teacher questionnaires contained a section where the teachers were asked to evaluate their own teacher training with respect to a number of task areas of variables may shed some light on the question of evaluation versus other areas of teaching in a mixed-ability context.



Table 8. MTL teachers' evaluation of their own teacher training. Meighted and adjusted frequencies (n=191).

		altern	eti v e	•		central tendency and dispersion		
	very			71	ery			
OWN	0000]	bad			
training	5	4	3	2	1	MA		
ss to:	•	•		5	•		_	
Liowledge	38.8	38.6	16.8	05.8	00.0	4.210	. 885	
skills	29.4	41.2	24.9	04.4	00.0	4.001	. 051	
evaluation differenti-	05.9	09.9	36.0	22.9	25.2	2.553	1.147	
ation	00.0	05.2	18.6	30.1	37.3	1.832	.692	

It is evident that most teachers in the present sample are very well satisfied with both the skills and knowledge aspects of their own training. With the more pedagogical aspects of their training, however, the picture is different. Furthermore there is a clear difference between evaluation and differentiation in the data. Evaluation is a relatively mild problem after all in this context. Differentiation is a such more seriour problem. This result is just one of several in the total survey material which suggests that from the teachers' point-of-view mixed-ability teaching is a far more serious problem in EFL than mixed-ability evaluation.

4 Concluding remarks

In the present paper I have tried to combine data from a national evaluation system with data from people working in the system. I think it is a fair conclusion after ten years of mixed-ability teaching and testing that such systems are quite possible to implement. Some spects of these systems, as noted in this paper, setually seem to work well.

It also seems justified to conclude that when we choose to settle for a mixed-ability system we are not taking an easy way out as far as the organization of ETL is concerned. The system has its problems, particularly in practical teaching. These are going to be a continuing challenge for reascarchers and teacher trainers for a long time after the implementation or d-ability teaching and in the implementation or d-ability teaching and in the implementation or d-ability teaching and in the implementation of d-ability teaching and d-ability

Haastrup (this seminar) has Grest: the construct validity of the Danish oral exam in relation to the notion of communicative competence. This reservation is probably justified both for the oral and written exams in Norway. Here, the central problem seems to be



establishing both theoretically well-founded and precise definitions of central but vague terms like eq. 'coherence' (cf. footnote 2).

In Morway the communicative paradigm was not well established at the time when its curriculum was designed. It is to be expected that the 1985 revision of the teaching guide will change the basic non-communicative skills orientation into a more communicative one. This is hopefully going to lead to changes also in testing. In this connection, the material I have presented seems to call first for a reorientation in the direction of oral skills. It may also be hoped that the cultural aspects of foreign language teaching and learning will be emphasized more. Communicative competence is, after all, not simply a question of skill.

Notes

- The statistics were produced by an old version of SPSS which does not compute percentiles.
- It is significant to note that this term is not 2 treated systematically in tearner training, teaching materials or actual teaching. At the University of Trondheim's Department of Applied Linguistics research is in progress to investigate students' written performance at the discourse-level. The research is based on the Trondheim Corpus of Applied Linguistics (Evensen 1982b), which consists of compositions written by the 2295 students who took part in the national survey. A central aim of the research is to carry out performance analyses to find correspondences between (non-) use of discourse-level features and holistic teacher evaluation in different grades. Preliminary results from exploratory studies are reported in (Evensen (in press) and in Evensen (forthm.).
- Considering both the well-known error of central tendency (cf. eg. Oppenheim 1956) and the fact that the measuring scale has only five steps, a median of 1.994 is very low indeed.

Bibliography

Differensieringsfrågor i språkundervisningen.
Seminarieraoport nr. il. 1983. Helsi i: Nordiska
språk- och informationscentret.
Evaluering i grunnskolen. 1980 1983. Grunnskolerådet.
Oslo: Grøndahl.



Enkvist, N.E., ed. in precy. Composition skid coherence: a symposium. Publications of the Research Institute of the Abo Akademi Formdation. Abor Abo Akademi.

Evensen, L.S. 1982a. "Forskning for morgendagens fremmedspraksundervisning." In the report Legare of stoleforskning. Oslo: MAVF.

1982b. "Data on sprakundervisning". In Humanistiske Data, Vol. 9, No. 2, 4-11.
1934. "Difficulty in ETL: a mational In Ringbom & Rissanen (1984:349-258). in press. "Discourse-level interlanguage

studies". To appear in Enkvist (in press).

forthc. "Connecting L1 and FL in diocourseperformance analysis." Paper presented at the 20th International conference of contrastive linguistics, Posnan, Poland, Pec. 13-15, 1984. Frydenberg, R. 1981a. "Differensiering i språkunder-

visningen." In <u>Undervisnings</u> <u>differentiering</u>....

pp. 26-31. 1981b. "Mâlen och hur de uppnätts samt utvecklingstrender i Norge. Undervisnings.... 56-58.

"Rollspel i undervisningen R. 1981c. Frydenberg, främmande språk." In Undervisnings... pp. 152-154. Handbok for skolen, del III. Kirke- Lg undervisnings-

departementer. Oslo.

Kunnskapsformidling i en skole for alle: innhold og nivi. OMI-rapport nr. 22. Oslo: Grunnskolerådet.

Forsk Skole, 1982,1984. Oslo: Kirke og undervisningsdepartamentet.

Oller, J. 1979. Language tests at school. London: Longwin.

Oppenheim, A.M. 1966. Questionnaire design and at itude measurement. London: Heinemann.

Ringbom, F. & Rissaner. H., eds. 1981. Proceedings from the Second Murdic conference for English studies. Publications of the Research Institute of the Abo Akademi Foundation, No. 92, Abo: Abo Akacemi.

Simensen, A. M. 1979. Evaluaring i magelsk skriftlig ved avgangseksamen i 9-årig skole: en beskrivelse og en analyse. Raport. Trondheim: University of Trondheim. 1983. "Intensjon og virkelighet i engelsk

som fremmedspråk." In Kunnskaps-formidling.... pp.212-232.

Stelen, L. 1984a. "Idear og forelag vil lærernes grunn utdanning og videreutdanning. In Differensieringsfrågor...pp. 74-?7.

1984b. "Resultat av forsøksvirksomhet irnen undervisningens differensie ring i Norge." In <u>Differentieringsfrågor</u>... pp.78-79.

Tangen, K. 1984. "Ressurser til grun-skolen i Morge."

In <u>Differentieringsfrådor</u>....pp. 80-107. Telhaug, A.O. 1970. De ni-Arige skolen og differensi-

cringsproblemet, Uslo: Lererstudentenes Forlag.



Undervisningsdifferentiering i främmande språk på
grundskolans högstadium. Seminarierapport nr. 11.
1984. Helsinki: Nordiska språk- och informationscentret.

centret.
Utviklingstendenser i elevprestasjoner på ungdomstrinnet.
OMI--pport nr. 20. 1983. Oslo:
onivers.tetsforlaget.

